PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Proprietary Information

Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify

Status Letter

below): -return postcard

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 09/574,327 Filing Date May 19, 2000 First Named Inventor **淀ORM** Albert Tung-chu Man Art Unit **Examiner Name** Fritz Alphonse espondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 0100.0000710 ges in This Submission TRADE **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC 1 Petition Amendment/Reply (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a

> **Provisional Application** Power of Attorney, Revocation

Terminal Disclaimer

Request for Refund

Remarks

CD, Number of CD(s)

Change of Correspondence Address

Landscape Table on CD

under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C. Signature Printed name Christopher J. Reckamp Date Reg. No. February 3, 2005 34,414

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Christine A. Wright Typed or printed name

After Final

Extension of Time Request

Certified Copy of Priority

Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application

Document(s)

Express Abandonment Request

Information Disclosure Statement

Reply to Missing Parts

Affidavits/declaration(s)

Date February 3, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Albert Tung-chu Man, et al.

Serial No. 09/574,327

Filing Date: May 19, 2000 Confirmation No. 8261 Examiner: Fritz Alphonse

Art Group: 2675

Our File No.: 00100.00.0710 Docket No.: 0100.0000710

Title: APPARATUS FOR TESTING DIGITAL DISPLAY DRIVER AND

METHOD THEREOF

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Certificate of First Class Mailing
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope
addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA-22313-1450, on this date.

2

Christine Wright

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed November 3, 2004, Applicants submit the following remarks.

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Claims 1-9, 11-17, 19-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hung in view of Perez. Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made in the previous office action. In addition, Applicants respectfully submit that it appears that claim language is being overlooked. It appears that the first step in Applicants' claim 1 has also been completely overlooked. This portion requires, among other things, providing digital graphics data having an expected characteristic value, to a digital graphics port of a graphics controller under test and which is coupled to a computer via a bus. This limitation does not appear to be addressed in the office action and as such the office action does not provide a prima facia showing of obviousness and the claims are in condition for allowance for this reason alone.